Guidelines for a Vicarious Trauma-Informed Organization

Making the Business Case

WHAT IS A VICARIOUS TRAUMA-INFORMED ORGANIZATION?

Vicarious trauma (VT), the exposure to the trauma experiences of others, is an occupational challenge for the fields of victim services, emergency medical services, fire services, law enforcement, and others. Working with victims of violence and trauma changes the worldview of responders and puts individuals and organizations at risk for a range of negative consequences (Bell, Kulkarni, and Dalton, 2003; McCann and Pearlman, 1990; Newell and MacNeil, 2010; Vicarious Trauma Institute, 2015; Pearlman and Saakvitne, 1995; Knight, 2013). A vicarious trauma-informed organization recognizes these challenges and proactively addresses the impact of vicarious trauma through policies, procedures, practices, and programs.

For more information on vicarious trauma and its effects, visit https://vtt.ovc.ojp.gov/.

The Organizational Impact of Vicarious Trauma

The impact of VT on organizations can be linked to three primary business concerns: productivity, staff turnover, and organizational health.

Productivity

Exposure to VT can erode your staff's ability to do their jobs effectively (Newell and MacNeil, 2010; Knight, 2013)—in particular, their ability to make decisions. Across the disciplines mentioned above, exposure to VT can increase employees' stress levels and cause or heighten depression which, when sustained over time, lessens your employees' complex decisionmaking abilities. Both motivation and performance suffer, often resulting in mistakes in judgment, poor response time, and an inability to connect with and relate to others when it is needed most. Increased stress levels also have physical effects on individuals. These may include increased

fatigue, irritability, lack of self-care, and negative attitudes toward their work, colleagues, and clients (Stebnicki, 2012). Given the level of empathy and resilience needed for trauma-focused work, VT can severely impede your staff's ability to consistently deliver high-quality services.

Staff Turnover

Turnover is one of the most visible effects of VT on organizations (Bell, Kulkarni, and Dalton, 2003; Middleton and Potter, 2015). The loss of employees on any regular basis has significant side effects, including the expenses related to both the time and resources needed to find and train replacements for those who leave. The issue of staff retention is most challenging when the individuals who leave are the organization's most experienced employees—those who are adept at handling the toughest and most complex cases and who often lead and mentor others.

Organizational Health

While the individual costs of VT can profoundly affect staff members' productivity and their delivery of services to those in need, the organizational costs are also significant. Those who experience the negative effects of VT can adversely impact team cohesion, communication, collaboration, and coordinated responses (Knight, 2013). The impact of unaddressed VT can corrode the internal workings of your organization by lowering morale, increasing absenteeism and tardiness, undermining authority, and reducing the quality and timeliness of client care and employees' administrative responsibilities (Newell and MacNeil, 2010; Knight, 2013; Stamm, 1997).

Conclusion

It is clear from the research that a concerted focus on addressing the impact of VT is key to promoting staff well-being and retention, organizational effectiveness, and quality service delivery. Becoming a vicarious trauma-informed organization is, therefore, a sound business practice.

References

Bell, Holly, Shanti Kulkarni, and Lisa Dalton. 2003. "Organizational Prevention of Vicarious Trauma." *Families in Society: The Journal of Contemporary Social Services* 84(4): 463–470.

Knight, Carolyn. 2013. "Indirect Trauma: Implications for Self-Care, Supervision, the Organization, and the Academic Institution." *The Clinical Supervisor* 32(2): 224–243.

McCann, Lisa, and Laurie Ann Pearlman. 1990. "Vicarious Traumatization: A Framework for Understanding the Psychological Effects of Working With Victims." *Journal of Traumatic Stress* (3): 131–149.

Middleton, Jennifer S., Catherine C. Potter. 2015. "Relationship Between Vicarious Traumatization and Turnover Among Child Welfare Professionals." *Journal of Public Child Welfare* 9(2): 195–216.

Newell, Jason M., and Gordon A. MacNeil. 2010. "Professional Burnout, Vicarious Trauma, Secondary Traumatic Stress, and Compassion Fatigue." *Best Practices in Mental Health* 6(2): 57–68.

Pearlman, Laurie Ann, and Karen Saakvitne. 1995. "Treating Therapists With Vicarious Traumatization and Secondary Traumatic Stress Disorders." In Compassion Fatigue: Coping With Secondary Traumatic Stress Disorder in Those Who Treat the Traumatized, edited by C. Figley, 150–177. New York: Brunner/Mazel.

Stamm, B. Hudnall. 1997. "Work-related Secondary Traumatic Stress." PTSD Research Quarterly 8(2): 1–6.

Stebnicki, Mark A. 2012. "Psychosocial Impact of Empathy Fatigue on Professional Helpers." In *Psychological and Social Impact of Illness and Disability, Sixth Edition* 423–434.

Vicarious Trauma Institute. 2015. "What Is Vicarious Trauma?" Accessed April 26, 2016, www.vicarioustrauma.com/whatis.html

This product was produced by Northeastern University's Institute on Urban Health Research and Practice, in collaboration with William James College, and supported by grant number 2013-VF-GX-K011, awarded by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this product are those of the contributors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

For more information about vicarious trauma, visit https://vtt.ovc.ojp.gov/.